

**ABOUT THE TEST** FoundationOne® Heme is a comprehensive genomic profiling test designed to identify genomic alterations within hundreds of cancer-related genes in hematologic malignancies and sarcomas.

**PATIENT**  
DISEASE Soft tissue Ewing sarcoma  
NAME  
DATE OF BIRTH  
SEX  
MEDICAL RECORD #

**PHYSICIAN**  
ORDERING PHYSICIAN  
MEDICAL FACILITY  
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT  
MEDICAL FACILITY ID  
PATHOLOGIST

**SPECIMEN**  
SPECIMEN SITE  
SPECIMEN ID  
SPECIMEN TYPE  
DATE OF COLLECTION  
SPECIMEN RECEIVED

**Biomarker Findings**

**Microsatellite status - MS-Stable**  
**Tumor Mutational Burden - 2 Muts/Mb**

**Genomic Findings**

*For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.*

**EWSR1EWSR1-ERG fusion**

**Report Highlights**

- Variants with **diagnostic implications** that may indicate a specific cancer type: **EWSR1EWSR1-ERG fusion** (p. 3)
- Evidence-matched **clinical trial options** based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 4)

**BIOMARKER FINDINGS**

**Microsatellite status - MS-Stable**

**Tumor Mutational Burden - 2 Muts/Mb**

**GENOMIC FINDINGS**

**EWSR1 - EWSR1-ERG fusion**

**10 Trials** see p. 4

**THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS**

**No therapies or clinical trials.** See Biomarker Findings section

**No therapies or clinical trials.** See Biomarker Findings section

**THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)**

none

**THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)**

none

**NOTE** Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA-approved drugs; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type.

ORDERED TEST #

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

## Microsatellite status

RESULT  
MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors<sup>1-3</sup>, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab<sup>4</sup>. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and

experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%,  $p=0.001$ )<sup>5</sup>.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Reports of MSI in sarcomas in the literature are conflicting and varied due to substantial heterogeneity, lack of consensus on the markers and methods used for MSI assessment, and small sample size in most studies<sup>6</sup>. In Ewing sarcoma, MSI at any level has been reported in 6% (1/18) to 48% (11/23) of cases<sup>7-9</sup> or reported as absent<sup>10-11</sup>, and high MSI has been observed in 2% (1/55) to 17% (4/23) of cases<sup>8-9</sup>. Studies of small patient cohorts have not shown a significant correlation between MSI status and survival in Ewing sarcoma<sup>8-9</sup>.

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor<sup>12</sup>. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2<sup>12-14</sup>. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers<sup>15-17</sup>. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins<sup>12,14,16-17</sup>.

BIOMARKER

## Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT  
2 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1<sup>18-20</sup>, anti-PD-1 therapies<sup>18-21</sup>, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab<sup>22-27</sup>. In multiple pan-tumor studies, increased tissue tumor mutational burden (TMB) was associated with sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors<sup>18-21,28-32</sup>. In the KEYNOTE 158 trial of pembrolizumab monotherapy for patients with solid tumors, significant improvement in ORR was observed for patients with TMB  $\geq 10$  Muts/Mb (as measured by this assay) compared with those with TMB  $< 10$  Muts/Mb in a large cohort that included multiple tumor types<sup>28</sup>; similar findings were observed in the KEYNOTE 028 and 012 trials<sup>21</sup>. At the same TMB cutpoint, retrospective analysis of

patients with solid tumors treated with any checkpoint inhibitor identified that tissue TMB scores  $\geq 10$  Muts/Mb were associated with prolonged time to treatment failure compared with scores  $< 10$  muts/Mb (HR=0.68)<sup>32</sup>. For patients with solid tumors treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab in the CheckMate 848 trial, improved responses were observed in patients with a tissue TMB  $\geq 10$  Muts/Mb independent of blood TMB at any cutpoint in matched samples<sup>33</sup>. However, support for higher TMB thresholds and efficacy was observed in the prospective Phase 2 MyPathway trial of atezolizumab for patients with pan-solid tumors, where improved ORR and DCR was seen in patients with TMB  $\geq 16$  Muts/Mb than those with TMB  $\geq 10$  and  $< 16$  Muts/Mb<sup>31</sup>. Similarly, analyses across several solid tumor types reported that patients with higher TMB (defined as  $\geq 16-20$  Muts/Mb) achieved greater clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting monotherapy compared with patients with higher TMB treated with chemotherapy<sup>34</sup> or those with lower TMB treated with PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting agents<sup>19</sup>.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Ewing sarcoma harbors a median TMB of 1.7 mutations per megabase (muts/Mb), and 0.5% of cases have high TMB ( $> 20$  muts/Mb)<sup>35</sup>. Published data investigating the prognostic implications of

TMB levels in Ewing sarcoma are generally limited (PubMed, Jul 2021). In one study, TMB greater than 11 muts/Mb (as measured in tissue samples) was associated with inferior outcomes for patients with Ewing sarcoma, although these patients also harbored alterations associated with poor prognosis, such as STAG2 and TP53 mutations<sup>36</sup>.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma<sup>37-38</sup> and cigarette smoke in lung cancer<sup>39-40</sup>, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma<sup>41-42</sup>, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes<sup>43-47</sup>, and microsatellite instability (MSI)<sup>43,46-47</sup>. This sample harbors a TMB level associated with lower rates of clinical benefit from treatment with PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors compared with patients with tumors harboring higher TMB levels, based on several studies in multiple solid tumor types<sup>19-20,28</sup>.

ORDERED TEST #

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE  
**EWSR1**

ALTERATION  
EWSR1-ERG fusion

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

Therapies targeting IGF1R might also be relevant for a patient with an EWSR1-ERG fusion. Phase 2 studies of anti-IGF1R antibodies reported response rates of 6-14.2%<sup>48-50</sup>, including a response to the IGF1R inhibitor figitumumab in 1 of 6 Ewing's sarcoma patients with EWS-ERG fusion<sup>49</sup>. However, the presence of EWSR1 fusion alone does not predict response to IGF1R targeted therapies<sup>51</sup>. In preclinical xenograft models, combinations of IGF1R inhibitors with mTOR inhibitors were reported to have better efficacy than IGF1R single agent therapy<sup>52-53</sup>. In a Phase 1 study of the IGF1R inhibitor cixutumumab in combination with the mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus in 17 Ewing's sarcoma patients, 1 patient had a complete response and 4 patients had partial responses<sup>54</sup>. Several preclinical studies have shown that EWSR1-FLI1 sensitizes cells to PARP inhibitors<sup>55-58</sup>, and one study reported that EWSR1-ERG driven cell lines were similarly sensitive to PARP inhibitors<sup>56</sup>.

However, in a Phase 2 trial in Ewing's sarcoma, 0 of 12 patients responded to single-agent olaparib<sup>59</sup>. The combination of PARP inhibitors with either temozolomide or irinotecan was more effective than single-agent olaparib against EWSR1-FLI1 cells in preclinical studies<sup>56-58</sup>.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Fusions involving EWSR1 are hallmark driver mutations in some types of sarcoma, including Ewing and clear cell sarcoma<sup>60-62</sup>. EWSR1-ERG fusions have been reported to occur in ~10% of Ewing sarcoma cases<sup>62-65</sup>. Fusions of ERG, as well as other transcription factors in the ETS family, such as the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion, have also been reported in ~50% of patients with prostate cancer<sup>66</sup>. In one study of Ewing sarcoma, the percentage of patients with metastatic disease at diagnosis was higher for patients with EWSR1/FUS-ERG fusions (44%) compared with EWSR1-FLI1 fusions (30%), but OS did not differ between the two fusion groups<sup>67</sup>. Translocations and deletions of ERG are also seen in some acute myeloid leukemias, and ERG overexpression has been associated with poor prognosis<sup>68-69</sup>. Patients with EWSR1-ERG and EWSR1-FLI1 fusions exhibit significant similarities in their pathological and clinical characteristics, as well as progression-free and overall survival<sup>69,70</sup>.

FINDING SUMMARY

EWSR1 (Ewing sarcoma breakpoint region 1) encodes the EWS protein, an RNA binding protein of largely unknown function that has been postulated to play a role in the regulation of hematopoietic stem cells<sup>71</sup>. Rearrangements that result in fusions between the EWSR1 transcriptional activation domain and the DNA binding domains of other transcription factors have been shown to be oncogenic<sup>65,72</sup>. Rearrangements leading to fusion between the N-terminus of EWSR1 that mediates transcriptional activation and the C-terminal ETS domain of ERG that binds DNA, such as observed here, are expected to be oncogenic, as proteins with similar domain composition are able to transform cultured cells and drive tumor formation in mouse xenograft models<sup>72-73</sup>.

POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS

EWSR1 fusions with partners such as FLI1, ERG, FEV, ETV1, E1AF, ZSG, and others are hallmark driver alterations of Ewing sarcoma and other mesenchymal tumors, including chondrosarcomas, round cell tumors, and myoepithelial tumors (NCCN Soft Tissue Sarcoma Guidelines, v3.2021)<sup>61-65,74-75</sup>.

ORDERED TEST #

**NOTE** Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see [clinicaltrials.gov](https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomic-testing#support-services). Or visit <https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomic-testing#support-services>.

**GENE**  
**EWSR1**

**RATIONALE**  
Preclinical evidence suggests that cancers with EWSR1-ERG fusion may be sensitive to PARP and

IGF1R inhibitors.

**ALTERATION**  
EWSR1-ERG fusion

**NCT05035745**

**PHASE 1/2**

Selinexor & Talazoparib in Advanced Refractory Solid Tumors; Advanced/Metastatic Triple Negative Breast Cancer (START)

**TARGETS**  
XPO1, PARP

**LOCATIONS:** Singapore (Singapore)

**NCT03772561**

**PHASE 1**

Phase I Study of AZD5363 + Olaparib + Durvalumab in Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumor Malignancies

**TARGETS**  
PARP, AKTs, PD-L1

**LOCATIONS:** Singapore (Singapore)

**NCT04801966**

**PHASE NULL**

Safety and Oversight of the Individually Tailored Treatment Approach: A Novel Pilot Study

**TARGETS**  
CDK4, CDK6, PI3K-alpha, PD-L1, MEK, PARP, PD-1, BRAF

**LOCATIONS:** Melbourne (Australia)

**NCT02264678**

**PHASE 1/2**

Ascending Doses of AZD6738 in Combination With Chemotherapy and/or Novel Anti Cancer Agents

**TARGETS**  
ATR, PARP, PD-L1

**LOCATIONS:** Gyang-si (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Seongnam-si (Korea, Republic of), Villejuif (France), Cambridge (United Kingdom), Sutton (United Kingdom), Bordeaux (France), Oxford (United Kingdom), Coventry (United Kingdom), Manchester (United Kingdom)

**NCT04644068**

**PHASE 1/2**

Study of AZD5305 as Monotherapy and in Combination With Anti-cancer Agents in Patients With Advanced Solid Malignancies

**TARGETS**  
ERBB2, TROP2, PARP

**LOCATIONS:** Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Budapest (Hungary), Warszawa (Poland), Brno (Czechia), Gdynia (Poland), Napoli (Italy), Roma (Italy), Grzegpnica (Poland), Padova (Italy), Modena (Italy)

ORDERED TEST #

**CLINICAL TRIALS**

**NCT04497116**

**PHASE 1/2**

Study of RP-3500 in Advanced Solid Tumors

**TARGETS**  
ATR, PARP

**LOCATIONS:** Copenhagen (Denmark), London (United Kingdom), Newcastle Upon Tyne (United Kingdom), Manchester (United Kingdom), Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Toronto (Canada), New York, Illinois, North Carolina

**NCT03784014**

**PHASE 3**

MOLECULAR PROFILING OF ADVANCED SOFT-TISSUE SARCOMAS

**TARGETS**  
ABL, KIT, ROS1, ALK, MET, ERBB2, EGFR, BRAF, MEK, PARP, PD-L1, CDK4, CDK6

**LOCATIONS:** Marseille (France), Dijon (France), Lyon (France), Clermont-Ferrand (France), Paris (France), Villejuif (France), Bordeaux (France), Saint-Herblain (France)

**NCT03907969**

**PHASE 1/2**

A Clinical Trial to Evaluate AZD7648 Alone and in Combination With Other Anti-cancer Agents in Patients With Advanced Cancers

**TARGETS**  
PARP, DNA-PK

**LOCATIONS:** London (United Kingdom), Newcastle upon Tyne (United Kingdom), Connecticut, Texas

**NCT04991480**

**PHASE 1/2**

A Study of ART4215 for the Treatment of Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors

**TARGETS**  
PARP, Pol theta

**LOCATIONS:** London (United Kingdom), New York, Tennessee, Oklahoma, Florida, Texas

**NCT02769962**

**PHASE 1/2**

Trial of CRLX101, a Nanoparticle Camptothecin With Olaparib in People With Relapsed/Refractory Small Cell Lung Cancer

**TARGETS**  
PARP, TOP1

**LOCATIONS:** Maryland

ORDERED TEST #

**APPENDIX**

Variants of Unknown Significance

**NOTE** One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future. Please note that some VUS rearrangements between targeted genes and unknown fusion partners or intergenic regions detected by RNA sequencing may not be reported.

**BRIP1**  
A745T

**CIC**  
P722L

**EWSR1**  
rearrangement

**FANCL**  
M247V

**FLYWCH1**  
P357R

**IGF1R**  
A257V

**KMT2A (MLL)**  
E1860D and S2319T

**LRP1B**  
R1072H

**MYO18A**  
R691C

**PCLO**  
S4814A and V3204D

**RICTOR**  
E1392del

**SPEN**  
P2067L

**WDR90**  
R1364H

**ZNF703**  
A276P

SAMPLE

ORDERED TEST #

**APPENDIX** Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®Heme

FoundationOne Heme is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human hematologic malignancies and sarcomas that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay utilizes DNA sequencing to interrogate 406 genes as well as selected introns of 31 genes involved in rearrangements, in addition to RNA sequencing of 265 genes. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

**HEMATOLOGICAL MALIGNANCY DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS**

ABL1	ACTB	ADGRA2 (GPR124)	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	AMER1 (FAM123B or WTX)
APC	APH1A	AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARHGAP26 (GRAF)	ARID1A	ARID2
ASMTL	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA	AURKB	AXIN1
B2M	BAP1	BARD1	BCL10	BCL11B	BCL2	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BIRC3	BLM	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4
BRSK1	BTG2	BTK	BTLA	CAD	CALR*	CARD11	CBFB
CCN6 (WISP3)	CCND1	CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CCT6B	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)
CD58	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73	CDH1	CDK12	CDK4
CDK8	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B	CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHD2	CHEK1
CIC	CIITA	CKS1B	CPS1	CREBBP	CRKL	CRLF2	CSF1R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUX1	CXCR4	DAXX	DDR2	DDX3X
DNMT3A	DOT1L	DTX1	DUSP2	DUSP9	EBF1	ECT2L	EED
ELP2	EMSY (C11orf30)	EP300	EPHA3	EPHA5	EPHA7	EPHB1	ERBB2
ERBB4	ERG	ESR1	ETS1	ETV6	EXOSC6	EZH2	FAF1
FANCC	FANCD2	FANCE	FANCF	FANCG	FANCL	FAS (TNFRSF6)	FBXO11
FBXW7	FGF10	FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6
FGFR2	FGFR3	FGFR4	FHIT	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FLT4
FOXL2	FOXO1	FOXO3	FOXP1	FRS2	GADD45B	GATA1	GATA2
GID4 (C17orf39)	GNA11	GNA12	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRIN2A	GSK3B
HDAC1	HDAC4	HDAC7	HGF	H1-2 (HIST1H1C)		H1-3 (HIST1H1D)	
H1-4 (HIST1H1E)		H2AC6 (HIST1H2AC)		H2AC11 (HIST1H2AG)		H2AC16 (HIST1H2AL)	
H2AC17 (HIST1H2AM)		H2BC4 (HIST1H2BC)		H2BC11 (HIST1H2BJ)		H2BC12 (HIST1H2BK)	
H2BC17 (HIST1H2BO)		H3C2 (HIST1H3B)		HNF1A	HRAS	HSP90AA1	ICK
IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R	IKBKE	IKZF1	IKZF2	IKZF3	IL7R
INPP4B	INPP5D (SHIP)	IRF1	IRF4	IRF8	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2
JARID2	JUN	KAT6A (MYST3)	KDM2B	KDM4C	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A
KEAP1	KIT	KLHL6	KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2C (MLL3)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LEF1
LRRK2	MAF	MAFB	MAGED1	MALT1	MAP2K1	MAP2K2	MAP2K4
MAP3K14	MAP3K6	MAP3K7	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12
MEF2C	MEN1	MET	MIB1	MITF	MKI67	MLH1	MPL
MSH2	MSH3	MSH6	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN
MYO18A	NCOR2	NCSTN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1
NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NPM1	NRAS	NSD2 (WHSC1 or MMSET)		NT5C2	NTRK1
NTRK3	NUP93	NUP98	P2RY8	PAG1	PAK3	PALB2	PASK
PBRM1	PC	PCBP1	PCLO	PDCD1	PDCD11	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	
PDGFRB	PDK1	PHF6	PIK3CA	PIK3CG	PIK3R1	PIK3R2	PIM1
POT1	PPP2R1A	PRDM1	PRKARIA	PRKDC	PRSS8	PTCH1	PTEN
PTPN2	PTPN6 (SHP-1)	PTPRO	RAD21	RAD50	RAD51	RAF1	RARA
RB1	RELN	RET	RHOA	RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR
SIPR2	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SERP2	SETBP1	SETD2
SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA1	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMC1A	SMC3
SOCS1	SOCS2	SOCS3	SOX10	SOX2	SPEN	SPOP	SRC
STAG2	STAT3	STAT4	STAT5A	STAT5B	STAT6	STK11	SUFU
TAF1	TBL1XR1	TCF3 (E2A)	TCL1A (TCL1)	TENT5C (FAM46C)	TET2	TGFBR2	TLL2
TMSB4XP8 (TMSL3)		TNFAIP3	TNFRSF11A	TNFRSF14	TNFRSF17	TOP1	TP53
TRAF2	TRAF3	TRAF5	TSC1	TSC2	TSHR	TUSC3	TYK2
							U2AF1

ORDERED TEST #

APPENDIX Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®Heme

U2AF2	VHL	WDR90	WT1	XBP1	XPO1	YY1AP1	ZMYM3	ZNF217
ZNF24 (ZSCAN3)	ZNF703	ZRSR2						

\*Note: the assay was updated on 11/8/2016 to include the detection of alterations in CALR

**HEMATOLOGICAL MALIGNANCY DNA GENE LIST: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT REARRANGEMENTS**

ALK	BCL2	BCL6	BCR	BRAF	CCND1	CRLF2	EGFR	EPOR
ETV1	ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	FGFR2	IGH	IGK	IGL
JAK1	JAK2	KMT2A (MLL)	MYC	NTRK1	PDGFRA	PDGFRB	RAF1	RARA
RET	ROS1	TMPRSS2	TRG					

**HEMATOLOGICAL MALIGNANCY RNA GENE LIST: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT REARRANGEMENTS\***

AB11	ABL1	ABL2	ACSL6	AFDN (MLLT4 or AF6)	AFF1	AFF4	ALK
ARHGAP26 (GRAF)		ARHGEF12	ARID1A	ARNT	ASXL1	ATF1	ATIC
BCL10	BCL11A	BCL11B	BCL2	BCL3	BCL6	BCL7A	BCL9
BCR	BIRC3	BRAF	BTG1	CAMTA1	CARS1 (CARS)	CBFA2T3	CBFB
CCND1	CCND2	CCND3	CD274 (PD-L1)	CDK6	CDX2	CEP43 (FGFR10P)	CHIC2
CIC	CIITA	CLP1	CLTC	CLTCL1	CNTRL (CEP110)	COL1A1	CREB3L1
CREBBP	CRLF2	CSF1	CTNNB1	DDIT3	DDX10	DDX6	DEK
EGFR	EIF4A2	ELF4	ELL	ELN	EML4	EP300	EPOR
ERBB2	ERG	ETV1	ETV1	ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1
FCRL4	FEV	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	FLI1	FNBP1	FOXO1
FOXO4	FOXP1	FSTL3	FUS	GAS7	GLI1	GMPS	GPHN
HERPUD1	HEY1	HIP1	HLF	HMGA1	HMGA2	HOXA11	HOXA13
HOXA9	HOXC11	HOXC13	HOXD11	HOXD13	HSP90AA1	HSP90AB1	IGH
IGL	IKZF1	IL21R	IL3	IRF4	ITK	JAK1	JAK2
JAZF1	KAT6A (MYST3)	KDSR	KIF5B	KMT2A (MLL)	LASP1	LCP1	LMO1
LPP	LYL1	MAF	MAFB	MALT1	MDS2	MECOM	MLF1
MLLT10 (AF10)	MLLT3	MLLT6	MN1	MNX1	MRTFA (MKL1)	MSI2	MSN
MYB	MYC	MYH11	MYH9	NACA	NBEAP1 (BCL8)	NCOA2	NDRG1
NF2	NFKB2	NIN	NOTCH1	NPM1	NR4A3	NSD1	NSD2 (WHSC1 or MMSET)
NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	NUMA1	NUP214	NUP98	NUTM2A
P2RY8	PAFAH1B2	PAX3	PAX5	PAX7	PBX1	PCM1	PCSK7
PDE4DIP	PDGFB	PDGFRA	PDGFRB	PER1	PHF1	PICALM	PIM1
PML	POU2AF1	PPP1CB	PRDM1	PRDM16	PRRX1	PSIP1	PTCH1
RABEP1	RAF1	RALGDS	RAP1GDS1	RARA	RBM15	RET	RHOH
RNF217-AS1 (STL)		ROS1	RPL22	RPN1	RUNX1	RUNX1T1 (ETO)	RUNX2
SEPTIN5 (SEPT5)	SEPTIN6 (SEPT6)	SEPTIN9 (SEPT9)	SET	SH3GL1	SLC1A2	SNX29 (RUNDC2A)	
SS18	SSX1	SSX2	SSX4	STAT6	SYK	TAF15	TAL1
TBL1XR1	TCF3 (E2A)	TCL1A (TCL1)	TEC	TET1	TFE3	TFG	TFPT
TLX1	TLX3	TMPRSS2	TNFRSF11A	TOPI	TP63	TPM3	TPM4
TRIP11	TTL	TYK2	USP6		YP63	ZBTB16	ZMYM2
ZNF521							ZNF384

\*Note: some VUS rearrangements between targeted genes and unknown fusion partners or intergenic regions detected by RNA sequencing may not be reported.

**ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS**

Microsatellite (MS) status  
Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)



ORDERED TEST #

**APPENDIX** Performance Specifications

The median exon coverage for this sample is 904x

**ACCURACY**

Sensitivity: Base Substitutions	At ≥5% Minor Allele Frequency	>99.0%
Sensitivity: Insertions/Deletions (1-40bp)	At ≥10% Minor Allele Frequency	98.0%
Sensitivity: Focal Copy Number Alterations (Homozygous Deletions or Amplifications)	At ≥8 copies	>95.0%
Sensitivity: Microsatellite Instability-High (MSI-H) status	Positive Predictive Agreement (PPA)	100.0% (87.54%-100.00%)*
Sensitivity: Microsatellite Stable (MSS) status	Positive Predictive Agreement (PPA)	89.66% (81.50%, 94.46%)*
Sensitivity: Known Gene Fusions	>95.0%	
Specificity: Base Substitutions, Insertions/Deletions, and Focal Copy Number Alterations	Positive Predictive Value (PPV)	>99.0%
Specificity: Known Gene Fusions	Positive Predictive Value (PPV)	>95.0%
Specificity: Microsatellite Instability-High (MSI-H) status	Negative Predictive Agreement (NPA)	97.44% (91.12%-99.29%)*
Specificity: Microsatellite Stable (MSS) status	Negative Predictive Agreement (NPA)	94.44% (86.57%, 97.82%)*
Accuracy: Tumor Mutation Burden	At ≥20% tumor nuclei	>90.0%
Reproducibility (average concordance between replicates)	97.0% inter-batch precision 97.0% intra-batch precision 95.0% microsatellite status precision 96.0% tumor mutation burden precision	

\*95% Confidence Interval

Assay specifications were determined for typical median exon coverage of approximately 500X. For additional information regarding the validation of FoundationOne®Heme, please refer to the article He, J. et al. Integrated genomic DNA/RNA profiling of hematologic malignancies in the clinical setting, Blood (2016 Jun. 16).

In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the FoundationOne Heme assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by

the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.

Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB) is determined by measuring the number of somatic mutations in sequenced genes on the FoundationOne Heme test and extrapolating to the genome as a whole. TMB is assayed for all FoundationOne Heme samples and is reported as the number of mutations per megabase (Muts/Mb). Tumor Mutational Burden is reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine Tumor Mutational Burden.

ORDERED TEST #

APPENDIX About FoundationOne®Heme

**ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE HEME**

FoundationOne®Heme is a comprehensive genomic profiling test for hematologic malignancies and sarcomas. The test is designed to provide physicians with clinically actionable information to help with diagnostic sub-classification, prognosis assessment, and targeted therapeutic selection. Test results provide information about clinically significant alterations, potential targeted therapies, available clinical trials, and quantitative markers that may support immunotherapy clinical trial enrollment. FoundationOne Heme is analytically validated to detect all classes of genomic alterations in more than 400 cancer-related genes. In addition to DNA sequencing, FoundationOne Heme employs RNA sequencing across 265 genes to capture a broad range of gene fusions, common drivers of hematologic malignancies and sarcomas.

FoundationOne Heme was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne Heme has not been cleared or approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The FDA has determined that such clearance or approval is not necessary. FoundationOne Heme may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratory is certified under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA) as qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

**THE REPORT**

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

**Diagnostic Significance**

FoundationOne Heme identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

**Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)**

An alteration denoted as “amplification – equivocal” implies that FoundationOne Heme data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne Heme for identifying a copy number amplification is five (5) for *ERBB2* and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as “loss – equivocal” implies that FoundationOne Heme data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as “subclonal” is one that FoundationOne Heme analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

**Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials**

*Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table*  
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

*Ranking of Clinical Trials*

Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

**NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION**

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) ([www.nccn.org](http://www.nccn.org)). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to [NCCN.org](http://NCCN.org). NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

**LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED**

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

**NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT**

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

**NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT**

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne Heme.

**TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN**

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. These include: subclonal alterations in heterogeneous samples, low sample quality or with homozygous losses of <3 exons; and deletions and insertions >40bp, or in repetitive/high homology sequences. FoundationOne Heme is performed using DNA and RNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

The following targets typically have low coverage resulting in a reduction in sensitivity: SDHD exon 4, TNFRSF11A exon1, and TP53 exon 1.

FoundationOne Heme fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro

ORDERED TEST #

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®Heme

diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Ciplastraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

## CE

### REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating physician.

### VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of follow-up germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are *ATM*, *BAP1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *BRIP1*, *CHEK2*, *FLCN*, *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *MUTYH*, *PALB2*, *RET*, *SDHA*, *SDHB*, *SDHC*, *SDHD*, *TSC2*, and *VHL*, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

### VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only.

Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are *ASXL1*, *CBL*, *DNMT3A*, *IDH2*, *JAK2*, *KMT2D (MLL2)*, *MPL*, *MYD88*, *SF3B1*, *TET2*, and *U2AF1* and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

### SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
OS	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

### REFERENCE SEQUENCE INFORMATION

Sequence data is mapped to the human genome, Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 37 (GRCh37), also known as hg19.

MR Suite Version (RG) 63.0

APPENDIX References

ORDERED TEST #

1. Gatalica Z, et al. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* (2014) PMID: 25392179
2. Kroemer G, et al. *Oncoimmunology* (2015) PMID: 26140250
3. Lal N, et al. *Oncoimmunology* (2015) PMID: 25949894
4. Le DT, et al. *N. Engl. J. Med.* (2015) PMID: 26028255
5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
6. Monument MJ, et al. *ISRN Oncol* (2012) PMID: 23401795
7. Ebinger M, et al. *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.* (2005) PMID: 15721646
8. Alldinger J, et al. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* (2007) PMID: 17530287
9. Ohali A, et al. *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.* (2004) PMID: 15041223
10. Suwa K, et al. *J Orthop Sci* (1999) PMID: 10370164
11. Monument MJ, et al. *PLoS ONE* (2014) PMID: 25093581
12. Kocarnik JM, et al. *Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf)* (2015) PMID: 26337942
13. You JF, et al. *Br. J. Cancer* (2010) PMID: 21081928
14. Bairwa NK, et al. *Methods Mol. Biol.* (2014) PMID: 24623249
15. Boland CR, et al. *Cancer Res.* (1998) PMID: 9823339
16. Pawlik TM, et al. *Dis. Markers* (2004) PMID: 15528785
17. Boland CR, et al. *Gastroenterology* (2010) PMID: 20420947
18. Samstein RM, et al. *Nat. Genet.* (2019) PMID: 30643254
19. Goodman AM, et al. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* (2017) PMID: 28835386
20. Goodman AM, et al. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2019) PMID: 31405947
21. Cristescu R, et al. *Science* (2018) PMID: 30309915
22. Ready N, et al. *J. Clin. Oncol.* (2019) PMID: 30785829
23. Hellmann MD, et al. *N. Engl. J. Med.* (2018) PMID: 29658845
24. Hellmann MD, et al. *Cancer Cell* (2018) PMID: 29657128
25. Hellmann MD, et al. *Cancer Cell* (2018) PMID: 29731394
26. Rozeman EA, et al. *Nat Med* (2021) PMID: 33558721
27. Sharma P, et al. *Cancer Cell* (2020) PMID: 32916128
28. Marabelle A, et al. *Lancet Oncol.* (2020) PMID: 32919526
29. Ott PA, et al. *J. Clin. Oncol.* (2019) PMID: 30557521
30. Cristescu R, et al. *J Immunother Cancer* (2022) PMID: 35101941
31. Friedman CF, et al. *Cancer Discov* (2022) PMID: 34876409
32. Sturgill EG, et al. *Oncologist* (2022) PMID: 35274716
33. Schenker et al., 2022; AACR Abstract 7845
34. Legrand et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 12000
35. Chalmers ZR, et al. *Genome Med* (2017) PMID: 28420421
36. Liu KX, et al. *Cancer* (2019) PMID: 30602061
37. Pfeifer GP, et al. *Mutat. Res.* (2005) PMID: 15748635
38. Hill VK, et al. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* (2013) PMID: 23875803
39. Pfeifer GP, et al. *Oncogene* (2002) PMID: 12379884
40. Rizvi NA, et al. *Science* (2015) PMID: 25765070
41. Johnson BE, et al. *Science* (2014) PMID: 24336570
42. Choi S, et al. *Neuro-oncology* (2018) PMID: 29452419
43. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. *Nature* (2013) PMID: 23636398
44. Briggs S, et al. *J. Pathol.* (2013) PMID: 23447401
45. Heitzer E, et al. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* (2014) PMID: 24583393
46. *Nature* (2012) PMID: 22810696
47. Roberts SA, et al. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* (2014) PMID: 25568919
48. Pappo AS, et al. *J. Clin. Oncol.* (2011) PMID: 22025149
49. Juergens H, et al. *J. Clin. Oncol.* (2011) PMID: 22025154
50. Tap WD, et al. *J. Clin. Oncol.* (2012) PMID: 22508822
51. Ho AL, et al. *J. Clin. Oncol.* (2011) PMID: 22025158
52. Beltran PJ, et al. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* (2011) PMID: 21385891
53. Kurmasheva RT, et al. *Cancer Res.* (2009) PMID: 19789339
54. Naing A, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2012) PMID: 22465830
55. Garnett MJ, et al. *Nature* (2012) PMID: 22460902
56. Brenner JC, et al. *Cancer Res.* (2012) PMID: 22287547
57. Stewart E, et al. *Cell Rep* (2014) PMID: 25437539
58. Smith MA, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2015) PMID: 25500058
59. Choy E, et al. *BMC Cancer* (2014) PMID: 25374341
60. Yang L, et al. *Hum. Pathol.* (2012) PMID: 22406360
61. Toomey EC, et al. *Oncogene* (2010) PMID: 20543858
62. Warren M, et al. *Hum. Pathol.* (2013) PMID: 23706910
63. Le Deley MC, et al. *J. Clin. Oncol.* (2010) PMID: 20308673
64. Zoubek A, et al. *Br. J. Cancer* (1994) PMID: 7524604
65. Sankar S, et al. *Cancer Genet* (2011) PMID: 21872822
66. Scheble VJ, et al. *Mod. Pathol.* (2010) PMID: 20473283
67. Tsuda Y, et al. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* (2020) PMID: 32362012
68. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* (2011) PMID: 21664289
69. Yi H, et al. *Oncogene* (1997) PMID: 9178886
70. Ginsberg JP, et al. *J. Clin. Oncol.* (1999) PMID: 10561219
71. Cho J, et al. *Blood* (2011) PMID: 21030557
72. Braunreiter CL, et al. *Cell Cycle* (2006) PMID: 17172842
73. Ouchida M, et al. *Oncogene* (1995) PMID: 7566963
74. *Cancer* (2020) PMID: 33048366
75. Diaz-Perez JA, et al. *Hum Pathol* (2019) PMID: 31078563

SAMPLE